

# 非線形解析セミナー (拡大版)

日時: 2023年11月24日(金) 10:00~17:30

場所: 矢上キャンパス 14棟7階733室

アクセス: [矢上キャンパスへのアクセス](#)と[キャンパスマップ](#)

## プログラム (11月24日)

- 10:00~11:30  
Lorenzo Cavallina 氏 (東北大学)  
Face 2-phase: how much overdetermination is enough to get symmetry in multi-phase problems.
- 11:30~13:30  
昼食
- 13:30~15:00  
Patrick van Meurs 氏 (金沢大学)  
Convergence of a phases-field model to a system of moving particles (P) in 1D with collisions.
- 15:00~16:00  
休憩および討論
- 16:00~17:30  
Norbert Požár 氏 (金沢大学)  
A rate-independent model of droplet evolution

- Lorenzo Cavallina 氏 (東北大学)

**タイトル:**

Face 2-phase: how much overdetermination is enough to get symmetry in multi-phase problems.

**アブストラクト:**

This talk is concerned with the symmetry/asymmetry properties of the solutions to an overdetermined problem that arises in the mathematical study of composite materials. We study an elliptic boundary value problem for a “two-phase” elliptic operator in divergence form where we impose homogenous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Here, the word “two-phase” stands for the fact that the coefficients of such an operator are given by a piecewise constant function that is allowed to take either one of two given values at each point. Also, we say that a level-set  $\Gamma$  of some function  $u$  is “overdetermined” if the norm of the gradient of  $u$  is also constant on  $\Gamma$ . It is known that, in the one-phase setting (i.e. where the operator is just a multiple of the Laplace operator), if the solution exhibits (at least) one overdetermined level-set, then the domain must be a ball (thus, the solutions must in turn be radial, and all level-sets are indeed overdetermined). In this talk, we show that such an elegant symmetry result does not neatly generalize to the two-phase setting, where depending on their relative positions, the existence of two overdetermined level-sets might not be enough to ensure radial symmetry. This talk is based on a joint work with Giorgio Poggesi (University of Western Australia).

- Patrick van Meurs 氏 (金沢大学)

**タイトル:**

Convergence of a phases-field model to a system of moving particles ( $P$ ) in 1D with collisions.

**アブストラクト:**

We study a phases-field model ( $P_\varepsilon$ ) for a system of moving particles ( $P$ ) in 1D. Our aim is to show that  $(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (P)$  as the phase-field parameter  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0. Our motivation for this is that  $(P_\varepsilon)$  is a model coming from physics, but the limiting problem ( $P$ ) is much easier to solve. ( $P$ ) is a system of ODEs which describe the movement of electrically charged particles by the law “velocity = force”. Collisions between particles of opposite type happen in finite time. At a collision the right-hand side of the ODE blows up, which makes the analysis of it interesting. In  $(P_\varepsilon)$ , the particles and their charges are characterized by phase transitions of the phase-field function  $v_\varepsilon$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ .  $v_\varepsilon$  satisfies a nonlocal PDE. While  $(P_\varepsilon)$  is more difficult to solve than ( $P$ ), it does not blow up at collisions, and treats them in a regularized manner. Our proof method for  $(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (P)$  relies on the comparison principle and a smart construction of sub- and supersolutions. This work is in collaboration with Stefania Patrizi, accepted to SIMA and available on ArXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.06709>.

- Norbert Požár 氏 (金沢大学)

**タイトル:**

A rate-independent model of droplet evolution

**アブストラクト:**

In this talk I will introduce a simplified model of a quasistatic droplet on a surface with contact angle hysteresis based on a rate-independent evolution of the one-phase Bernoulli free boundary problem. Taking advantage of two notions of weak solutions, energy-based and comparison-principle-based, we study the dynamic contact angle of moving contact lines and the geometry of de-pinning. We show that these two notions of solutions coincide in a star-shaped setting, where we show (almost) optimal regularity of the contact line and the convergence of a minimizing movements scheme. In a general setting, the notions differ essentially in how they handle jumps, but both are shown to satisfy a weak motion law. This talk is based on joint work with Inwon Kim (UCLA) and Will Feldman (U. of Utah).